

1. What are your three main reasons for running for Mayor or Council?

- Fiscal Responsibility and Transparency
- Safer Streets
- Affordability – Housing and taxation

2. Are you in favour of limiting the number of years an individual can sit as Mayor or as a city Councillor?

- Yes. Term limits encourage fresh perspectives, prevent political stagnation, and ensure elected officials remain closely connected to the everyday realities of residents.

3. Should major city projects be done using public/private contracting?

- Yes, where it provides proven cost efficiencies, competitive bidding, and risk-sharing, provided there is strict municipal oversight and accountability to taxpayers.

4. Are there too many staff at City Hall? Are there too many upper level managers and directors at City Hall which taxpayers have to fund?

Kelowna City Hall staffing costs align with comparable sized cities. Based on this information I would have to say no.

5. Should Taxpayers have more say in the development of their neighbourhoods? Has the current development process gone too far in eliminating resident's voices and concerns about developments in their neighbourhoods?

- Taxpayers and resident associations must have a genuine, early seat at the table when decisions impact the liveability and fabric of their neighborhoods.

6. What are mayoral and council candidates prepared to do about visible drug use, public safety, and neighborhood dysfunction?

- **Address the Root Cause:** Throwing more money at local emergency services fails because you cannot fix downstream outcomes without fixing upstream mechanics.

- **Judicial Reform:** Lobby senior levels of government to end the revolving-door system for repeat offenders.
- **Treatment Infrastructure:** Secure the necessary government resources and funding for comprehensive addiction support and involuntary care frameworks.

7. Are you in favour of the increasing elimination of single family dwellings in previously single detached housing neighbourhoods?

- No. Blanket top-down mandates ignore neighborhood infrastructure capacities and strip away housing diversity options that many residents specifically chose when investing in Kelowna.

8. Do developers get too many breaks at the expense of tax payers?

- Growth must pay for growth. We need to ensure that development policies do not unfairly shift infrastructure burdens onto existing taxpayers or compromise community amenities.

9. Should infrastructure be a priority pre-development instead of constantly tearing up streets and playing catch up?

- Yes. Infrastructure must precede development. Playing catch-up by constantly tearing up completed streets is poor planning and poor stewardship of taxpayer funds.

10. Would you prioritize making our neighbourhoods livable rather than forcing a 15-minute walkable bubble? Would you increase vehicle parking and prioritize green space?

- **Practical Planning:** Yes. We must prioritize liveability and realistic transit needs—including vehicles required for work and equipment—rather than forcing an unworkable 15-minute urban centres where they do not currently make sense.
- **Parking & Green Space:** Yes, parking requirements must reflect actual residential and visitor needs, and green space must be a protected, essential element within development footprints.

11. Should the city prioritize the purchase of new park land available to all citizens, and protect vulnerable green space?

- Yes. Protecting vulnerable green space and prioritizing municipal park land acquisition preserves our natural assets and prevents over-paving our city.

12. Do you believe that all cultural infrastructure should only be in the downtown core instead of shared throughout the city? Are you prepared to change bylaws restricting it?

- No, cultural infrastructure should not be restricted exclusively to downtown. Bylaws should be amended to support distributed cultural assets across diverse neighborhoods.

13. Should more parking be made available so all residents of Kelowna are able enjoy the Okanagan Lake shoreline and beaches?

- Yes. Beach and lake access must be preserved and accessible for *all* Kelowna residents, not just those living within immediate walking distance or tourists, which requires defending and expanding sensible parking options near shoreline areas.

14. Do you think Resident Associations should be restricted from speaking against City decisions which may adversely affect a Neighbourhood?

- No. Resident Associations play a vital democratic role in highlighting how municipal decisions impact local neighborhoods; silencing them runs counter to transparent local governance.

15. What efforts will you make to include all Resident Associations in any consultation process regarding health, safety, and well-being?

- We must establish mandatory, structured consultation pathways that directly engage Resident Associations early in any planning or policy discussions affecting neighborhood well-being.